

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,964	02/10/2004	Matthias Stubbe	H01.2-11476	3585
490 75	590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
	ETT & STEINKRAUS,	WINNER, TONY H		
6109 BLUE CII SUITE 2000	RCLE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	A, MN 55343-9185		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1			
Office Action Summary		10/776,964	STUBBE, MATTHIAS	$I_{\mathcal{N}_{\sigma}}$			
		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Tony H. Winner	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $10 F$ . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under $E$ .	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		s is			
Disposition	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Motice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 3/2404	4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informs 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/776,964

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "their" is unclear because the examiner does not know what structure is the word "their" referring to. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmberg et al. (US. patent 6,491,127 B1) in view of Bunch, Jr. (US. patent 5,400,672).

Homberg discloses a steering drive for an industrial truck, comprising:

- a. a steered wheel (114) which is rotatably supported about a horizontal axis in
- b. a bearing component (figure 3A) wherein the bearing component is pivotally supported about a vertical axis and has a ring gear (46), and
  - c. a steering motor (18) which drives a pinion (42) meshing with the ring gear.

Homberg lacks the teaching of a circumferential groove (in either of the gears) in which a ring-shaped absorbing member of a resilient material is received.

Page 3

Bunch discloses a gearing system with a circumferential groove (in one of the gear set) in which an o ring-shaped absorbing member of a resilient material is received so as to provide a means to control the backlash.

Based on the teaching of Bunch, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the steering drive gear set of Homberg to include the resilience O-ring of Bunch, Jr. so as to provide a means to control the backlash.

With regard to claims 2 and 3, Homberg as modified by Bunch meets all of the claimed limitations.

#### Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wada ('512), and Bowers ('906) are cited of interest.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Anthony H. Winner whose telephone number is (703) 306-5957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris, can be reached at (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information-Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 3611

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

PATENT EXAMINER

October 19, 2004